

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/722,362 11/26/2003		Christian Boettcher	15540-017001 / 18.00246; 4747		
26171	7590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			CHERRY, EUNCHA P		
	LIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			2872		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/722,362		BOETTCHER, CHRISTIAN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		EUNCHA P. CHER	RY :	2872				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing if the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply , the maximum statutory period w ed period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI cause the application to b	er, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days v K (6) MONTHS from th ecome ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 7/19/	<u>05, 8/15/05</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s 5)□ Claim(s) is/are a 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejection of the second of	s) is/are withdrav llowed. ected. bjected to.	vn from considerat						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) △ Acknowledgment is made a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ 1. △ Certified copies of the certified copies	None of: f the priority documents f the priority documents tified copies of the prior he International Bureau	s have been receiv s have been receiv ity documents hav u (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application e been received)).	n No I in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-8) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 	92) wing Review (PTO-948)		terview Summary (F aper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲 No		ent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,362

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Amstel (US Patent No. 5,148,324).

Van Amstel discloses a deformable mirror (Figs. 2a-2c) comprising a reflecting surface (14) disposed on a diaphragm (19, column 5, lines 31-32), a diaphragm carrier that supports the diaphragm (12), wherein the diaphragm carrier has a countersunk portion defines non-circular, pressurizable rear surface of the diaphragm (see 13), wherein the diaphragm carrier comprises a lateral recess substantially parallel to the reflecting surface and adjacent to the rear surface of the diaphragm (see Fig. 2a), further comprising an actuator (Fig. 4b, 21) and wherein the diaphragm carrier comprises a pipe socket with the circular outer cross-section (see 17). However,

Application/Control Number: 10/722,362

Art Unit: 2872

Van Amstel does not disclose the diaphragm carrier that has a circular perimeter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to change the shape of the shape of the perimeter to be circular since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Daily, 357 F. 2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

3. Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Amstel in view of Giesen et al (from IDS).

Van Amstel discloses the claimed invention as set forth except for actuator is the cooling fluid that is in contact with the rear surface of the diaphragm. Giesen et al discloses the actuator including the cooling fluid that is in contact with the rear surface of the diaphragm (see column 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use cooling fluid instead of spring because the fluid is easier controlling than any other mechanical device.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUNCHA P. CHERRY whose telephone number is 571-272-2310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DREW DUNN can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUNCHA P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872